	Case 2:16-cv-00910-JAD-GWF Document	43 Filed 07/14/16 Page 1 of 15
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	AACC MICHAEL C. MILLS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 003534 BAUMAN LOEWE WITT & MAXWELL, PLI 3650 N. Rancho Dr., Ste. 114 Las Vegas, Nevada 89130 Telephone No.: 702-240-6060 Fax No.: 702-240-4267 ERIC R. MCDONOUGH, ESQ. (Pro Hac Vice Accepted) AMY A. ABELOFF, ESQ. (Pro Hac Vice Accepted) SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 2029 Century Park East, Ste. 3500 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone No.: 310-277-7200 Fax No.: 310-201-5219	LC
11	Attorney for Defendant/Cross-Claimant, Randstad Professionals US, LP	
	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
13	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA
14		CASENIO A 127 CM ASSIS LAD COME
15	COUNT'S KUSTOMS, LLC, a Nevada corporation,	CASE NO: 2:126-CV-00910-JAD-GWF
16	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT
17	vs.	RANDSTAD PROFESSIONALS US, LP's FIRST AMENDED ANSWER
18	JOSEPH FRONTIERA, an individual;	AND CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST CROSS-DEFENDANT JOSEPH
19 20	RANDSTAD PROFESSIONALS; US, LP a Delaware limited partnership, d/b/a RANDSTAD PROFESSIONALS; DOES I through X, and DOE CORPORATIONS XI	FRONTIERA
21	through XX, inclusive,	
22	Defendants.	
23	RANDSTAD PROFESSIONALS US, LP,	
24	Cross-Claimant,	
25	JOSEPH FRONTIERA,	
26	Cross-Defendant.	
27		
28	DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT RANDSTAD PROFESSIONALS US, LP's FIRST AMENDED ANSWER AND CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST CROSS-DEFENDANT JOSEPH FRONTIERA	
	- Page 1 of 15 -	
	27008289v.1 2587073v1	

DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT RANDSTAD PROFESSIONALS US, LP's FIRST AMENDED ANSWER AND CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST CROSS-DEFENDANT JOSEPH FRONTIERA

COMES NOW Defendant/Cross-Claimant, Randstad Professionals US, LP ("Randstad"), by and through its counsel of record, Michael C. Mills, Esq., of the law firm of Bauman Loewe Witt & Maxwell, PLLC, and Eric R. McDonough, Esq. and Amy A. Abeloff, Esq., of the law firm of Seyfarth Shaw LLP, and files its First Amended Answer to Complaint and Cross-Claims Against Cross-Defendant Joseph Frontiera ("Frontiera") as follows:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- Answering Paragraph 1 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant,
 Randstad admits the allegations contained therein.
- 2. Answering Paragraph 2 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same, and avers that Frontiera resided in the State of Nevada at the time of his employ with Plaintiff.
- 3. Answering Paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the allegations contained therein, and avers that Defendant Randstad is a limited partnership organized under the laws of the State of Delaware.
- 4. Answering Paragraph 4 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the allegations contained therein.
- 5. Answering Paragraph 5 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the allegations contained therein, and avers that on or around June 17, 2013, Frontiera was hired by CK through the use of Randstad's services to serve as Controller on a temporary basis.
- 6. Answering Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant
 Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of
 the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.

DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT RANDSTAD PROFESSIONALS US, LP's FIRST AMENDED ANSWER AND CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST CROSS-DEFENDANT JOSEPH FRONTIERA

- 7. Answering Paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the allegations contained therein, except admits that CK paid to Randstad a fee, and avers that such fee was to compensate Randstad for services rendered to CK as described in the Randstad Finance & Accounting Service Agreement (the "Agreement") that Randstad prepared for CK's and Frontiera's signature.
- 8. Answering Paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein as the substance of Paragraph 8 does not clearly designate to which of Frontiera's positions at CK Plaintiff refers, and upon said ground denies the same.
- 9. Answering Paragraph 9 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein as the substance of Paragraph 9 does not clearly designate to which of Frontiera's positions at CK Plaintiff refers and upon said ground denies the same, and avers that the Agreement describes the rights, expectations and obligations of all signatories.
- 10. Answering Paragraph 10 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the allegations contained therein.
- 11. Answering Paragraph 11 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.
- 12. Answering Paragraph 12 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein as the substance of Paragraph 12 does not clearly designate to which of Frontiera's positions at CK Plaintiff refers, and upon said ground denies the same.
- 13. Answering Paragraph 13 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.
- 14. Answering Paragraph 14 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant

 DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT RANDSTAD PROFESSIONALS US. LP's FIRST AMENDED ANSWER

 AND CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST CROSS-DEFENDANT JOSEPH FRONTIERA

Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.

- 15. Answering Paragraph 15 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.
- 16. Answering Paragraph 16 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.
- 17. Answering Paragraph 17 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.
- 18. Answering Paragraph 18 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.
- 19. Answering Paragraph 19 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Breach of Contract)

(Randstad)

- 20. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 17 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad repeats and re-alleges his answers as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 19 above with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 21. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 18 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering

 Defendant Randstad denies the allegations contained herein, and avers that on or around June 17,

 2013, Frontiera was hired by CK through the use of Randstad's services to serve as Controller on a temporary basis.
- DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT RANDSTAD PROFESSIONALS US, LP's FIRST AMENDED ANSWER AND CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST CROSS-DEFENDANT JOSEPH FRONTIERA

- 22. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 19 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.
- 23. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 20 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the allegations contained therein, except admits that, per the terms and conditions outlined in the Agreement, Randstad conducted its own reference checks on Frontiera and took reasonable steps to verify the accuracy of such, and avers that it performed the contracted services described in the Agreement.
- 24. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 21 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the allegations contained herein, and avers that Randstad performed the contracted services described in the Agreement.
- 25. Mislabeled Paragraph 22 of Plaintiff's Complaint calls for a legal conclusion to which no answer is required, and to the extent it is, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the same.
- 26. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 23 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to whether the retention of Black & LoBello's services were necessary, and upon said ground denies the same, and every other assertion set forth therein.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Breach of Covenant of Good Faith & Fair Dealing)

(Randstad)

- 27. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 24 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad repeats and re-alleges his answers as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 26 above with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 28. Mislabeled Paragraph 25 of Plaintiff's Complaint calls for a legal conclusion to which no answer is required, and to the extent it is, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the same.

DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT RANDSTAD PROFESSIONALS US, LP's FIRST AMENDED ANSWER AND CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST CROSS-DEFENDANT JOSEPH FRONTIERA

- 29. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 26 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the allegations contained therein.
- 30. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 27 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the allegations contained therein.
- 31. Mislabeled Paragraph 28 of Plaintiff's Complaint calls for a legal conclusion to which no answer is required, and to the extent it is, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the same.
- 32. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 29 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to whether the retention of Black & LoBello's services were necessary, and upon said ground denies the same, and every other assertion set forth therein.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Fraud)

(Frontiera and Randstad)

- 33. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 30 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering

 Defendant Randstad repeats and re-alleges his answers as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 32

 above with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 34. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 31 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the allegations contained therein, and avers that on or around June 17, 2013, Frontiera was hired by CK through the use of Randstad's services to serve as Controller on a temporary basis, and further avers that it performed the contracted services described in the Agreement.
- 35. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 32 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the allegations contained therein, except admits that it conducted reference checks on Frontiera as referenced in the Agreement.
- 36. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 33 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering

 Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth

 DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT RANDSTAD PROFESSIONALS US, LP's FIRST AMENDED ANSWER

 AND CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST CROSS-DEFENDANT JOSEPH FRONTIERA

3 4

5

8

11

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.

- 37. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 34 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.
- 38. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 35 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the allegations contained therein.
- 39. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 36 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.
- 40. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 37 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.
- 41. Mislabeled Paragraph 38 of Plaintiff's Complaint calls for a legal conclusion to which no answer is required, and to the extent it is, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the same.
- 42. Mislabeled Paragraph 39 of Plaintiff's Complaint calls for a legal conclusion to which no answer is required, and to the extent it is, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the same.
- 43. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 40 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to whether the retention of Black & LoBello's services were necessary, and upon said ground denies the same, and every other assertion set forth therein.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Negligent Misrepresentation)

(Randstad)

Answering mislabeled Paragraph 41 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering 44. Defendant Randstad repeats and re-alleges his answers as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 43 DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT RANDSTAD PROFESSIONALS US, LP's FIRST AMENDED ANSWER AND CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST CROSS-DEFENDANT JOSEPH FRONTIERA

denies the same.

5

67

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

2122

2324

25

26

27

28

46. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 43 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.

47. Mislabeled Paragraph 44 of Plaintiff's Complaint calls for a legal conclusion to which no answer is required, and to the extent it is, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the same.

48. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 45 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to whether the retention of Black & LoBello's services were necessary, and upon said ground denies the same, and every other assertion set forth therein.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unjust Enrichment)

(Frontiera and Randstad)

- 49. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 46 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad repeats and re-alleges his answers as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 48 above with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 50. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 47 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the allegations contained therein.
- 51. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 48 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.
- 52. Mislabeled Paragraph 49 of Plaintiff's Complaint calls for a legal conclusion to which no answer is required, and to the extent it is, this answering Defendant Randstad denies DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT RANDSTAD PROFESSIONALS US, LP's FIRST AMENDED ANSWER AND CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST CROSS-DEFENDANT JOSEPH FRONTIERA

1617

18

19 20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

the same.

- 53. Mislabeled Paragraph 50 of Plaintiff's Complaint calls for a legal conclusion to which no answer is required, and to the extent it is, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the same.
- 54. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 51 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to whether the retention of Black & LoBello's services were necessary, and upon said ground denies the same, and every other assertion set forth therein.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Conversion/Constructive Trust)

(Frontiera)

- 55. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 52 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad repeats and re-alleges his answers as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 54 above with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 56. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 53 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.
- 57. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 54 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.
- 58. Mislabeled Paragraph 55 of Plaintiff's Complaint calls for a legal conclusion to which no answer is required, and to the extent it is, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the same.
- 59. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 56 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to whether the retention of Black & LoBello's services were necessary, and upon said ground denies the same, and every other assertion set forth therein.

DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT RANDSTAD PROFESSIONALS US, LP's FIRST AMENDED ANSWER AND CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST CROSS-DEFENDANT JOSEPH FRONTIERA

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Embezzlement - Civil Theft)

(Frontiera)

- 60. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 57 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad repeats and re-alleges his answers as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 59 above with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 61. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 58 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.
- 62. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 59 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and upon said ground denies the same.
- 63. Mislabeled Paragraph 60 of Plaintiff's Complaint calls for a legal conclusion to which no answer is required, and to the extent it is, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the same.
- 64. Mislabeled Paragraph 61 of Plaintiff's Complaint calls for a legal conclusion to which no answer is required, and to the extent it is, this answering Defendant Randstad denies the same.
- 65. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 62 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant Randstad is without sufficient information upon which to base a belief as to whether the retention of Black & LoBello's services were necessary, and upon said ground denies the same, and every other assertion set forth therein.

EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Negligent Supervision)

(Randstad)

66. Answering mislabeled Paragraph 63 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering
DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT RANDSTAD PROFESSIONALS US, LP's FIRST AMENDED ANSWER
AND CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST CROSS-DEFENDANT JOSEPH FRONTIERA

AND CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST CROSS-DEFENDANT JOSEPH FRONTIERA

]	FOURTH: It has been necessary for Defendant Randstad to employ the	
2	services of Mills & Associates and Seyfarth Shaw LLP to defend this action, and a reasonable	
3	sum should be allowed Defendant as and for attorney's fees, together with costs expended in this	
4	action.	
5	FIFTH: Defendant alleges that the incidents alleged in the Complaint, and	
6	the alleged damages, if any, to Plaintiff were proximately caused or contributed to by Plaintiff's	
7	own negligence and such negligence was greater than any of the Defendant's negligence.	
8	SIXTH: Defendant alleges that Plaintiff did not exercise ordinary care,	
9	caution, or prudence over the direction, supervision or control of Defendant Frontiera to avoid	
10	the alleged harm it suffered, and the alleged harm, if any, complained of was directly and	
11	proximately contributed to and caused by fault, carelessness, and negligence of Plaintiff.	
12	SEVENTH: Defendant alleges that Plaintiff has failed, in whole or in part, to	
13	mitigate its alleged damages.	
14	EIGHTH: Pursuant to NRCP 11, as amended, all possible affirmative	
15	defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after	
16	reasonable inquiry upon the filing of Defendant's Answer, and therefore, this answering	
17	Defendant reserves the right to amend its Answer to allege additional Affirmative Defenses if	
18	subsequent investigation so warrants.	
19	NINTH: Defendant alleges that Plaintiff breached its Agreement with	
20	Defendant, and that breach is the cause of the alleged harm to the Plaintiff.	
21	TENTH: Defendant alleges that the alleged acts of Frontiera are an	
22	intervening and superseding cause of any alleged harm to the Plaintiff.	
23	ELEVENTH: Defendant alleges that at diverse dates and times, Frontiera was an	
24	employee of CK, and Randstad cannot be held liable for CK's or Frontiera's acts, omissions,	
25	negligence or intentional acts.	
26	WHEREFORE, this answering Defendant Randstad hereby pray for judgment as follows:	
27	1. That Plaintiff takes nothing by reason of the Complaint on file herein;	
0	DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT RANDSTAD PROFESSIONALS US, LP's FIRST AMENDED ANSWER	

AND CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST CROSS-DEFENDANT JOSEPH FRONTIERA
- Page 12 of 15 -

ase 2:16-cv-00910-JAD-GWF Document 43 Filed 07/14/16 Page 13 of 15

1	2. That this answering Defendant be awarded reasonable attorney's fees and costs for
2	the defense of this matter;
3	3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
4	DATED this 14th day of July 2016.
5	BAUMAN LOEWE WITT & MAXWELL, PLLC
6	
7	
8	MICHAEL C. MILLS, ESQ.
9	Nevada Bar No. 003534 3650 N. Rancho Dr., Ste. 114
10	Las Vegas, NV 89130
11	ERIC R. MCDONOUGH, ESQ.
12	(Pro Hac Vice Accepted) AMY A. ABELOFF, ESQ.
13	(Pro Hac Vice Accepted) SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
14	2029 Century Park East, Ste. 3500 Los Angeles, California 90067
15	Telephone No.: 310-277-7200 Fax No.: 310-201-5219
16	Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Claimant Randstad Professionals US, LP
17	Randstad i folessionais OS, Li
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT RANDSTAD PROFESSIONALS US, LP's FIRST AMENDED ANSWER

27008289v.1 2587073v1

AND CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST CROSS-DEFENDANT JOSEPH FRONTIERA

DEFENDANT RANDSTAD'S CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST CROSS-DEFENDANT FRONTIERA

COMES NOW, Cross-Claimaint, Randstad Professionals US, LP ("Defendant" or "Randstad") a Delaware limited partnership, by and through its attorneys of record, Michael C. Mills, Esq. of Bauman Loewe Witt & Maxwell, PLLC, and Eric R. McDonough, Esq. (pro hac vice pending) and Amy A. Abeloff, Esq. (pro hac vice accepted) of Seyfarth Shaw LLP, and hereby files these Cross-Claims against the above-named Cross-Defendant, and alleges as follows:

CROSS-CLAIM AGAINST FRONTIERA

- 1. Cross-Defendant Joseph Frontiera at the time of the issues in question herein, resided in the State of Nevada.
- 2. It has become necessary for Cross-Claimant Randstad ("Randstad") to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this Cross-Claim and, therefore, Randstad should be awarded attorney's fees and costs of suit that are incurred as a result of this litigation.

CONTRIBUTION & INDEMNITY

- 3. Randstad alleges that in the event it is found to be liable to Plaintiff Count's Kustom's, LLC ("CK") or to any other party for any damages, or if payment is made by Randstad to CK or any other party as a result of the incidents or occurrences described in CK's Complaint, then Randstad's liability or payments is based upon the acts and/or omissions, including, without limitation, alleged negligence, breach of warranties (express and/or implied), breach of contract, and breach of fiduciary duties, of CK and/or Frontiera, and therefore Randstad is entitled to contribution and indemnity to the fullest extent permitted by law from Frontiera.
- 4. That is has become necessary for Randstad to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this Cross-Claim and, therefore, said Randstad should be awarded attorney's fees and costs of suit that are incurred as a result of this litigation.

DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT RANDSTAD PROFESSIONALS US. LP's FIRST AMENDED ANSWER AND CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST CROSS-DEFENDANT JOSEPH FRONTIERA

WHEREFORE, Cross-Claimant Randstad, prays as follows:

- 1. For judgment against Cross-Defendant Frontiera in amounts to be determined at the time of trial;
- 2. For costs, disbursements and attorneys' fees; and
- 3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 14th day of July 2016.

BAUMAN LOEWE WITT & MAXWELL, PLLC

MICHAEL C. MILLS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 003534 3650 N. Rancho Dr., Ste. 114 Las Vegas, NV 89130

ERIC R. MCDONOUGH, ESQ. (Pro Hac Vice Accepted) AMY A. ABELOFF, ESQ. (Pro Hac Vice Accepted) SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 2029 Century Park East, Ste. 3500 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone No.: 310-277-7200 Fax No.: 310-201-5219

Attorneys for Defendant/Plaintiff-in-Counterclaim/Cross-Claimant Randstad Professionals US, LP

DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT RANDSTAD PROFESSIONALS US, LP's FIRST AMENDED ANSWER AND CROSS-CLAIMS AGAINST CROSS-DEFENDANT JOSEPH FRONTIERA

26

27